

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW B. CRAMER,

Plaintiff,

v.

A. HUBBARD, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2: 20-cv-0649 KJN P

ORDER & FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed May 5, 2020, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and sixty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Sixty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that

1 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
2 Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: July 14, 2020

4 
5 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 Cra649.fta
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28